

09/522,085
Art Unit 3624
9137.00

REMARKS

This Request is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on June 17, 2003. Claims 1 - 8 and 15 - 29 are pending, and all stand rejected at present.

Claims 30 and 31 are added. Any fee may be billed to Deposit Account 14 - 0225, NCR Corporation.

Support for claim 30 is found in the facts that

- 1) the Specification refers to a generalized "controller," such as that in Figure 1, and
- 2) it is well known that, in a modern ATM, the "controller" takes the form of a Personal Computer, PC. US patent 6,021,400 (Gallacher, Grossi, Paton, Piggot, and Schneider) supports this assertion. Applicants offer to submit an affidavit on this point, if the Examiner so requests.

Everybody knows that PC's accept adapter cards. Further, the Specification's reference to connecting the transceiver 92 to the "system bus 36" (page 10, lines 9, 10) clearly contemplates the use of an adapter card. In fact, if you open a PC, you immediately see that the sockets into which the adapter cards fit are directly connected to the system bus. This is a well known fact.

Thus, the Specification's statement that the transceiver connects to the "system bus" clearly contemplates use of an adapter card, supporting the language of claim 30.

Claim 31 is added to preclude reading of claim 16 onto an

09/522,085
Art Unit 3624
9137.00

apparatus which is undergoing initial assembly at a manufacturing plant.

RESPONSE TO REJECTIONS

All rejections are 102-type, based on a Suer reference.

Claims 1 - 5, 8, 15, 20 - 22, and 26 - 29

Claims 1 and 22 recite:

1. A method comprising:

identifying an ATM which has a screen for displaying options for withdrawing cash and a touch input mechanism for receiving user commands;

and

modifying said ATM to enable it to receive from a wireless telephone user commands for dispensing cash.

22. Method according to claim 1, wherein, prior to the process of modifying said ATM, said ATM was incapable of receiving user commands for dispensing cash from a wireless telephone.

It appears that the PTO interprets claims 1 and 22 as reciting steps of **manufacturing** a complete ATM, which has the characteristics recited in claim 1. However, claim 1 does not recite that.